Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly © Springer-Verlag 1998 Printed in Austria

## Group Electronegativities, Inductive and Mesomeric Parameters from Semiempirical Quantum Chemical Computations

## Alexei N. Pankratov<sup>\*</sup> and Andrew E. Shchavlev

Department of Chemistry, N. G. Chernyshevskii Saratov State University, Saratov 410026, Russia

**Summary.** Electronegativities, inductive parameters, and mesomeric dipole moments have been computed for different substituents *X* in CH<sub>3</sub>*X*, *X*CH<sub>2</sub>COOH, *n*-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>*X*, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>*X*, 4-*X*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, 4-*X*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 2,6-di-*X*-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N, and 2,6-di-*X*-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>. The applicability of several semiempirical quantum chemical method to such calculations is demonstrated, and the dependence of the heuristic quantities on the nature of the substituents *X* is reported.

**Keywords.** Electronegativity; Inductive parameter; Mesomeric dipole moment; Semiempirical quantum chemical estimation.

# Gruppenelektronegativitäten, induktive und mesomere Parameter aus semiempirischen quantenchemischen Berechnungen

**Zusammenfassung.** Elektronegativitäten, induktive Parameter und mesomere und mesomere Dipolmomente wurden für verschiedene Substituenten X in CH<sub>3</sub>X, XCH<sub>2</sub>COOH, *n*-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>X, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>X, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 2,6-di-X-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N und 2,6-di-X-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>3</sub> berechnet. Die Anwendbarkeit einiger semiempirischer quantenchemischer Methoden auf solche Problemstellungen wird gezeigt, und die Abhängigkeit der berechneten Größen von den Eigenschaften der Substituenten X wird diskutiert.

## Introduction

The electronegativities (EN) of atomic groups as well as their inductive and mesomeric effects expressed in terms of parameters following the linear free energy relationships principle [1–6] are extremely important for the description of the physicochemical properties of substances and molecules (spectra, ionization potentials, dipole moments, polarographic, and other characteristics), the investigation of reactivity, catalysis, extraction, solvation, and protolytic equilibria, and for establishing chemical, electrochemical, spectroscopic, and other properties. The substituents absolute EN offers a unique opportunity for a uniform approach to the two fundamental chemical problems, namely reaction barriers and molecular

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

design [7, 8]. In the context of EN analysis, regioselectivity and ambivalency (*i.e.* nucleophilic-electrophilic dichotomy [7–12]) can be explained as well as chemical bonding, attracting forces, and the properties of molecules and crystals [13]. Owing to the EN concept, chemistry fits readily into the general theory of systems [13].

The aim of the present work is to elucidate the possibility of semiempirical quantum chemical estimation of electronegativities, inductive, and mesomeric characteristics of the atomic groups X in CH<sub>3</sub>X, XCH<sub>2</sub>COOH, n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>X, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>X, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, pyridines 2,6-di-X-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N, and N-methylpyridinium cations 2,6-di-X-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>.

## Methods

The calculations of the electronic structure of the compounds using the SCF MO LCAO CNDO/2 [14], INDO [15], and MINDO [16] methods were carried out using the programs of the VIKING [17] and GEOMO [18] packages for fixed spatial parameters selected on the basis of data from structural investigations [19, 20]. The MNDO [21] and AM1 [22] calculations were performed using the MOPAC software package [23, 24] with complete geometry optimization (*Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno* function minimizer [25, 26]) involving *Thiel*'s fast minimization algorithm [26]. The preliminary optimization was realized by the MMX method [27] with the software of the PCMODEL package [27]. The maximal gradient condition was set to 0.02 kcal/mol/Å.

The regression analysis was performed with a confidence coefficient of 0.95.

## **Results and Discussion**

As an objective criterion for the overall electron acceptor ability and EN of the substituents X in CH<sub>3</sub>X, XCH<sub>2</sub>COOH, n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>X, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>X, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, 4-X-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>NX<sub>2</sub>, and C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>X<sub>2</sub> we refer to the sum of charges on atoms in the fragments CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>COOH, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N, and C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sup>+</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>. For the saturated systems CH<sub>3</sub>X, XCH<sub>2</sub>COOH, and n-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>X, the value outlined is a characteristic of the EN effect of X. Upon examination of molecules of the HX type, *Marriott*, *Reynolds et al.* have used the analogous index within the framework of the 6–31 G<sup>\*</sup>//4–31 G [28] and 6–31 G<sup>\*</sup>//6–31 G<sup>\*</sup> [28, 29] approaches. Comparative analysis of the electron acceptor properties of different groups using such an integral index is sufficiently correct, since the substituents action range changes negligibly and irregularly within a series of compounds [30].

The EN effect of atomic groups is known to proceed over not more than 3 chemical bonds [5, 30]. The mesomeric effect decays more slowly: it is transferred through 3 alternating double bonds, even if vacant orbitals or negatively charged atoms do not take part in conjugation [4]. Obviously, for this reason the authors of Ref. [31] state that the charge on the *para*-carbon atom of the ring ( $q_{para}$ ) may serve as a measure of  $p\pi$ -conjugation in aromatic molecules. In principle, this value may also reflect  $\pi\pi$ -conjugation, *i.e.* describe the mesomeric properties of both *n*- and  $\pi$ -donor groups.

We have found 90 linear correlations of the EN values (obtained by different methods and scored on different scales) and the inductive parameter  $\iota$  of atomic groups calculated by Eq. (1) [32] where  $Z^*$  is the effective charge of the valent shell and  $n^*$  is the effective principal quantum number, with the sum of charges on

1008

<b>Table 1.</b> Electronegativities $(\chi)$	) as a function of th	e sum of the atomic	c charges of fragm	ents R in RX an	nd $RX_2$ $(\Sigma)$ accord	ling to $\chi = B \cdot \Sigma +$	Υ-
X	R	Method	Way of evaluation	Ref.	В	A	Correlation coefficient
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	в	[36]	7.98±2.33	$2.50 \pm 0.23$	0.9876
H, $CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , OH, F	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	53	[36]	7.32±2.01	$2.71 \pm 0.18$	0.9890
H, $CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$ , F	$C_6H_4NO_2$	CNDO/2	þ	[36]	7.78±2.35	$2.67 {\pm} 0.24$	0.9868
H, $CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$ , F	$CH_3$	OQNI	þ	[36]	7.31±2.69	$2.47 \pm 0.33$	0.9806
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , F	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	c	[39]	$8.06 \pm 2.05$	$2.36 {\pm} 0.23$	0.9998
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , F	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	c	[39]	7.39±0.71	$2.58{\pm}0.08$	1.000
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , F	$C_5H_{11}$	CNDO/2	c	[39]	$7.76 \pm 0.11$	$2.23 \pm 0.01$	1.000
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , F	$CH_3$	MINDO	c	[39]	$4.90{\pm}0.54$	$2.33 {\pm} 0.10$	1.000
CH <sub>3</sub> , C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> , CN, OH	$C_5H_3N$	CND0/2	d	[41]	$8.52{\pm}1.68$	$1.58 {\pm} 0.20$	0.9979
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	$C_5H_{11}$	AM1	٥	[36]	7.75±1.74	$2.56 {\pm} 0.20$	0.9973
$NH_2$ , OH, OCH <sub>3</sub> , F	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	Э	[42]	$6.05 \pm 1.36$	$2.82 \pm 0.17$	0.9973
$NH_2$ , OH, OCH <sub>3</sub> , F	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	Э	[42]	$5.63 {\pm} 0.91$	$2.97 \pm 0.10$	0.9986
$NH_2$ , OH, F	$CH_3$	ODNI	Э	[42]	$4.92 {\pm} 0.70$	$2.81 \pm 0.11$	0.9999
$NH_2$ , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	ODNI	Ð	[42]	$4.80{\pm}0.71$	$2.83 \pm 0.11$	0.9999
H, $NH_2$ , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	MINDO	Ð	[42]	$6.89{\pm}1.93$	$2.04{\pm}0.37$	0.9958
CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	$C_5H_{11}$	MINDO	f	[36]	$9.41 \pm 2.32$	$2.19 \pm 0.38$	0.9998
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	50	[44]	$11.96 \pm 2.46$	$2.29 {\pm} 0.16$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$C_5H_3N$	CNDO/2	50	[44]	$6.30 {\pm} 0.68$	$2.27 \pm 0.09$	1.000
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	OQNI	60	[44]	$9.53{\pm}0.58$	$2.27 {\pm} 0.05$	1.000
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	Ч	[44]	$14.74{\pm}2.26$	$1.94{\pm}0.15$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	Ч	[44]	$13.11 \pm 1.83$	$2.34{\pm}0.10$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	OQNI	Ч	[44]	$11.50 \pm 2.61$	$1.94{\pm}0.22$	0.9998
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	.1	[44]	$14.48{\pm}2.97$	$2.32 \pm 0.19$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$C_5H_3N$	CNDO/2	.1	[44]	$7.63 \pm 0.83$	$2.30{\pm}0.10$	1.000
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$C_5H_3N^+CH_3$	CNDO/2	.1	[44]	$11.74{\pm}0.41$	$2.29 {\pm} 0.03$	1.000
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	OQNI	.1	[44]	$11.30 \pm 1.48$	$2.32 \pm 0.12$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $N(CH_3)_2$ ,	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	. <del></del>	[45, 46]	$8.34{\pm}1.24$	$2.55 \pm 0.09$	0.9967

1009

Table 1 (continued)							
X	R	Method	Way of evaluation	Ref.	В	A	Correlation coefficient
OH, OCH <sub>3</sub>							
CH <sub>3</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , OH. OCH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	. <b>-</b> ,	[45, 46]	7.48±1.52	2.79±0.08	0.9939
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$C_5H_3N$	CNDO/2	k	[47]	$6.30 {\pm} 0.68$	$2.27 \pm 0.09$	1.0000
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	ODNI	k	[47]	$9.33{\pm}1.23$	$2.30{\pm}0.10$	0.9999
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$	$CH_3$	ODNI	Ι	[48]	$9.10{\pm}1.83$	$2.64 \pm 0.12$	0.9999
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , CCH,	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	Ш	[50]	$8.48{\pm}1.66$	$2.44{\pm}0.09$	0.9858
COOH, CN, $NH_2$ , OH							
$CH_3$ , $CH=CH_2$ , $CCH$ ,	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	ш	[50]	$7.54{\pm}1.25$	2.68±0.05	0.9898
COOH, CN, $NH_2$ , OH							
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , CN, OH, F	$CH_3$	AM1	u	[51]	$9.24{\pm}1.28$	$2.67 {\pm} 0.26$	0.9769
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	$C_5H_{11}$	AM1	n	[51]	11.21±2.67	$2.76 {\pm} 0.31$	0.9970
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , F	$C_5H_{11}$	CNDO/2	0	[53, 54]	$8.54{\pm}1.70$	$2.16 \pm 0.21$	0.9999
$NH_2$ , OH, F	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	Ь	[55]	7.38±2.08	$2.94{\pm}0.27$	0.9998
$CH_3$ , $NH_2$ , $OH$ , F	$CH_2COOH$	CNDO/2	Ь	[55]	7.53±2.10	$3.04{\pm}0.21$	0.9958
$NH_2$ , OH, F	$CH_3$	OQNI	Ь	[55]	$6.04{\pm}0.20$	$2.92 {\pm} 0.03$	1.000
$NH_2$ , OH, F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	ODNI	d	[55]	$5.89{\pm}1.52$	$2.93 \pm 0.24$	0.9998
CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	AM1	р	[55]	22.72±5.64	$2.90{\pm}0.24$	0.9998
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	$C_5H_{11}$	AM1	в	[36]	$8.28{\pm}1.28$	$2.51 {\pm} 0.51$	0.9987
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , OH, F	$C_5H_{11}$	AM1	r	[28, 29]	$2.11 \pm 0.42$	$0.153 {\pm} 0.048$	0.9979
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , CCH,	$CH_3$	CNDO/2	s	[57, 58]	9.98±2.08	$2.54{\pm}0.13$	0.9493
CHO, COOH, CN, NH <sub>2</sub> , NHCH <sub>3</sub> , N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , OH,							
осн <sub>3</sub> , ос <sub>6</sub> н <sub>5</sub> , № Н, СН <sub>3</sub> , СН=СН <sub>2</sub> , ССН,	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	×	[57, 58]	9.43±1.92	2.82±0.09	0.9513

CHO, COOH, CN, NH <sub>2</sub> , NHCH <sub>3</sub> , N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , OH, OCH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> NO							
H CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, NHCH3, N(CH3)2, OH, OCH2, OCCH4, NO	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	CND0/2	v	[57, 58]	9.78±2.48	2.48±0.17	0.9277
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CN. NH3, OH. NO	$C_6H_4NH_2$	CNDO/2	×	[57, 58]	9.22±2.63	$2.27 \pm 0.09$	0.9705
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, NHCH3, N(CH3)2, OH, OCH2	CH <sub>3</sub>	CND0/2	-	[59, 60]	14.78±2.50	2.49±0.14	0.9758
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, NHCH3, N(CH3)2, OH. OCH2	СН <sub>2</sub> СООН	CND0/2	-	[59, 60]	13.47±2.25	2.90±0.09	0.9764
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, NHCH3, N(CH3)2, OH OCH2	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	CND0/2	-	[59, 60]	14.14±3.57	2.44±0.22	0.9482
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH CH0, COOH, CN, NH3, OH	$C_6H_4NH_2$	CNDO/2	ţ	[59, 60]	15.16±3.06	$2.21 \pm 0.20$	0.9801
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , CCH, CH0. COOH. CN. NH <sub>2</sub> , OH	$C_5H_3N$	CNDO/2	ţ	[59, 60]	7.55±1.64	2.46±0.17	0.9771
CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COCH3, COOH, CN, NH3, OH, NO, NO3, F	CH <sub>3</sub>	OUNIM	э	[61]	14.91±3.25	8.97±0.53	0.9552
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , CCH, CHO, COOH, CN, NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, NO, NO <sub>2</sub> , F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	OGNIM	э	[61]	15.92±3.63	9.04±0.57	0.9572

1011

ladie 1 (commea)							
X	R	Method	Way of evaluation	Ref.	В	A	Correlation coefficient
H, CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COCH3, COOH, CN, NH2, NHCH3, N(CH3)2, OH OCH2, NO	CH₂COOH	CND0/2	>	[61]	268.43±67.91 90.20±22.81	17.55±2.81 5.69±0.94	0.9344 <sup>aa</sup> 0.9344 <sup>bb</sup>
CH3, COOH, OH, NO <sub>2</sub> , F CH3, CH0, COOH, CN NH2, OH NO2, F	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Odnim	ж ж	[58} [58]	$1.16\pm0.25$ $1.23\pm0.13$	$0.151\pm0.049$ $0.133\pm0.022$	0.9930 0.9944
СН3, СООН, ОН, NO <sub>2</sub> , F CH3, CHO, COOH, CN NH OH NO F	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Odnim	××	[58] [58]	$1.20\pm0.04$ $1.27\pm0.16$	$0.150\pm0.008$ $0.130\pm0.027$	0.9998 0.9922
CH, MI2, OIL, NO2, F H, CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CHO, COOH, CN, NH2, OH, OCH2, NO, NO2, F	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	7	[63]	26.96±6.69 9.08±2.24	7.26±0.58 2.23±0.19	0.9366 <sup>aa</sup> 0.9371 <sup>bb</sup>
H, CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, OH OCH NO NO F	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	~	[63]	25.00±6.07 8.42±2.03	$8.00\pm0.45$ $2.48\pm0.14$	0.9391 <sup>aa</sup> 0.9396 <sup>bb</sup>
H, CH3, COH, CCH, CCH, H, CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CHO, COOH, CN, NH2, OH NO NO2 F	CH <sub>3</sub>	OGNI	~	[63]	21.56±5.49 7.26±1.84	7.17±0.58 2.20±0.19	$0.9404^{aa}$ $0.9407^{bb}$
H, CH3, CH=CH2, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, OH NO NO2 F	CH <sub>3</sub>	MINDO	×	[63]	14.65±2.72 4.93±0.92	7.09±0.44 2.18±0.15	0.9969 <sup>aa</sup> 0.9664 <sup>bb</sup>
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , COOH, OH, NO <sub>2</sub> , F	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	OGNIM	y	[63]	$17.63\pm0.90$ $5.94\pm0.31$	$6.87\pm0.16$ $2.10\pm0.06$	$0.9993^{aa}$ $0.9993^{bb}$
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , CCH,	$C_6H_5$	OQNI	y	[63]	$20.06 \pm 5.58$	7.16±0.63	$0.9301^{aa}$

 Table 1 (continued)

CHO, COOH, CN, $NH_2$ , OH NO NO E					6.76±1.86	2.20±0.19	0.9311 <sup>bb</sup>
OH, NO, NO2, T H, CH3, CH=CH5, CCH, CH0, COOH, CN, NH2, OH, NO, NO2, F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	OGNIM	2	[63]	16.82±2.15 5.66±0.72	6.88±0.32 2.11±0.11	0.9839 <sup>aa</sup> 0.9839 <sup>bb</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Based on number of electron $R^1R^2$ CO; <sup>d</sup> according to <i>Pauliu</i> electrons [43]; <sup>g</sup> according to <i>I</i> iterative method for saturated s [49]; <sup>n</sup> using a summary system orbital energies obtained from <sup>q</sup> from the nonempirical electronegativity electronegativi	as and covalent or j ing [40] from disso <i>Hinze</i> 's method fro structures; <sup>k</sup> under m based on thermox <i>Dirac</i> 's relativistic rostatic model [56 onding orbital calc equalization withi within the framewo drogen atomic chai an properties; <sup>aa</sup> at	onic radii [33–35]; <sup>b</sup> ciation energies of <i>R</i> m valence angles; <sup>h</sup> , the assumption that the assumption that the assumption using X-radiated via $\chi$ of electulat ulated via $\chi$ of electulat n the framework of rk of <i>Mulliken</i> populates 1 – q <sub>H</sub> in HX; <sup>y</sup> scording to <i>Mulliken</i>	based on estimate "H, <i>R-R</i> , and H-H; according to <i>Hinze</i> " according to <i>Hinze</i> " <i>X</i> is equal for all b <i>Hinze</i> "s method [52] y spectroscopic dat ition based on elect roneutral atoms and roneutral atoms and <i>Mulliken</i> populati ation analysis; <sup>w</sup> 4– calculation of $\chi$ fro	d effective nuclea <sup>e</sup> according to $Pc$ <sup>s</sup> method for fluor onds; <sup>1</sup> from therr for CH <sub>2</sub> = CHX b ta for the calculati ron density distrift 1 orbital charge cc on analysis; <sup>v</sup> ab 31 G ab initio calc om Bader's theory <sup>2</sup> auling	r potentials and co unling [40]; <sup>f</sup> via n ides; <sup>i</sup> according to nochemical data; <sup>n</sup> ased on NMR data on of effective nuc on of effective nuc ution; <sup>s</sup> according nutribution; <sup>u</sup> <i>ab in</i> <i>initio</i> calculation ulation of hydroge of molecular struc	valent radii [37, 38 nean effective pote o <i>Hinze's</i> method f from the modifie from the modifie pased on screen iear charges for th to <i>Gordy</i> [49] tak itio calculation of $i of \chi_{G^0}$ applying n atomic charges 1 ture [62] in terms 1	s]; <sup>c</sup> from $\nu_{CO}$ in initials of valence or hydrides; <sup>J</sup> by ad <i>Gordy</i> relation ung constants for e valence atoms; ing into account $\chi_G$ applying the the principal of the analysis of of the analysis of

X	R	Method	В	Α	Correlation coefficient
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> ,	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	5.16±0.84	2.23±0.07	0.9682
CCH, CHO, COOH,	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	$4.78 {\pm} 0.78$	$2.37{\pm}0.05$	0.9679
CN, NH <sub>2</sub> , NHCH <sub>3</sub> ,	$C_6H_5$	CNDO/2	$4.96{\pm}1.14$	$2.20{\pm}0.09$	0.9398
N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , OH, OCH <sub>3</sub> ,					
$OC_6H_5$ , F					
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , COOH, OH, F	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	CNDO/2	$5.14{\pm}1.48$	$2.05 {\pm} 0.17$	0.9880
H, CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> ,	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	CNDO/2	$5.07 \pm 1.33$	$2.13{\pm}0.12$	0.9519
CCH, CHO, COOH,	CH <sub>3</sub>	INDO	$4.08 {\pm} 1.12$	$2.21{\pm}0.11$	0.9477
CN, NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	INDO	4.13±1.19	$2.20{\pm}0.11$	0.9431

**Table 2.** Inductive parameter ( $\iota$ , [64]) as a function of the sums of the atomic charges of fragments *R* in *RX* ( $\Sigma$ ) according to  $\iota = B \cdot \Sigma + A$ 

**Table 3.** Mesomeric dipole moments ( $\mu_m$ , [1]) as a function of the charges in *para* positions of the aromatic rings of 4-*Y*C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>*X* ( $q_{para}$ ) as obtained from CNDO/2 calculations according to  $\mu_m = B \cdot q_{para} + A$ 

X	Y	В	A	Correlation coefficient
CH <sub>3</sub> , COCH <sub>3</sub> , CN,	NH <sub>2</sub>	-36.30±8.38	4.97±1.15	-0.9865
INП <sub>2</sub> , INO <sub>2</sub> , Г	NO <sub>2</sub>	$-33.95{\pm}7.02$	1.01±0.24	-0.9891

atoms of the corresponding molecular fragments as well as of mesomeric dipole moment ( $\mu_m$ ) of substituents X (presenting a vector difference of group moments for benzene and methane derivatives, and for irregular groups the difference component assigned to the C-X bond direction [1]) with the  $q_{para}$  values (Tables 1–3).

$$\iota = (Z^* + 1)/n^* \tag{1}$$

Given the mentioned dependences, the drawbacks of the CNDO/2 approach do not restrict its applicability for the EN values estimation. Besides, the CNDO/2 method enables one to obtain correct data on the electron density distribution in C, H, N, O, F, and Cl containing molecules [14, 17, 30, 65, 66]. The above scheme is parameterized favourably for the study of the inductive effect [66, 67]. Criticism of the method in the context of the analysis of the electrostatic component of the conformational enthalpy change calculated from the point charge interaction model [69] is not conclusive because of the inadequate simulation. Numerous data of <sup>13</sup>C NMR, NQR, photoelectron spectroscopy, *ab initio* calculations, *etc.* justify the results of calculations by the CNDO/2 method [65, 66]. The results of CNDO/2 and *ab initio* calculations of electronic effects are in accordance with each other [70].

## Conclusion

The linear dependences obtained provide an evidence for the correctness of the series of electron acceptor and electron donor properties of various substituents in organic molecules [30]. The possibility of a simple estimation of electronegativity, inductive and mesomeric parameters of atomic groups by means of the semiempirical methods of quantum chemistry (including that on the CNDO/2 level) using the correlations of the values mentioned with the indices of the electronic structure of the molecules has been demonstrated. Commonly used constants following from the free energies linearity principle possess a number of limitations (multiplicity of sets, ambiguous interpretation, *etc.*). The quantities evaluated in the present work (EN,  $\iota \mu_m$ ) overcome the aforesaid drawbacks to a significant extent, because they are expressed *via* values of clear physical meaning:  $\Sigma$ ,  $q_{para} Z^*$ ,  $n^*$ , group moments.

## Addendum

During the manuscript consideration, some new correlations of the  $X = B \cdot \Sigma + A$  type appeared, the effective X values of bonded atoms being determined on the basis of the principle of electronegativity equalization with absolute X of free atoms according to *Mulliken*, taking into account both charge and hybridization effects and using screening constants [71]. The MINDO/3 [72] calculations were performed with the GEOMO package at fixed molecular geometries.

X	R	Method	В	Α	Correlation coefficient
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	3.95±1.15	2.41±0.11	0.9877
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	CH <sub>2</sub> COOH	CNDO/2	3.60±0.98	2.52±0.09	0.9892
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	CNDO/2	3.72±1.31	2.42±0.14	0.9821
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	$C_6H_4NH_2$	CNDO/2	3.84±1.25	2.38±0.14	0.9847
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	INDO	3.18±0.95	2.41±0.12	0.9871
CH <sub>3</sub> , CH=CH <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>2</sub> , OH, F	$C_6H_5$	MINDO/3	2.23±0.80	2.43±0.14	0.9815

A correlation of the same kind applies for the EN values obtained from a relative hydrogen scale (recalculated *Gordy's* scale) [73].

X	R	Method	В	Α	Correlation coefficient
СН <sub>3</sub> , СН=СН <sub>2</sub> , ССН, СНО, СООН	CH <sub>3</sub>	CNDO/2	12.69±2.61	1.16±0.07	0.9938

#### Acknowledgements

The research described in this publication was made possible in part by Grants No. d96-16 and No. d97–1381 from the *International Soros Science Education Program* (Prof. Dr. *Alexei N. Pankratov*). The authors would like to thank Dr. *Olga M. Tsivileva* and Dr. *Inna M. Uchaeva* for technical assistance.

#### References

- Pal'm VA (1974) An Introduction to Theoretical Organic Chemistry. Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow (in Russian)
- [2] Chapman NB, Shorter J (eds) (1978) Correlation Analysis in Chemistry: Recent Advances. Plenum Press, New York London
- [3] Shorter J (1983) Correlation Analysis of Organic Reactivity with Particular Reference to Multiple Regression. Wiley, New York
- [4] Dneprovskii AS, Temnikova TI (1991) Theoretical Bases of Organic Chemistry. Khimiya, Leningrad (in Russian)
- [5] Topsom RD (1983) Accounts Chem Res 16: 292
- [6] Hansch C, Leo A, Taft RW (1991) Chem Rev 91: 165
- [7] Volovik SV, Staninets VI, Zefirov NS (1992) Doklady Akad Nauk (Russia) 326: 282
- [8] Volovik SV, Staninets VI, Zefirov NS (1993) Doklady Akad Nauk (Russia) 330: 321
- [9] Volovik SV (1988) Teoret i Experim Khimiya 24(3): 274
- [10] Volovik SV, Dyadyusha GG, Staninets VI (1988) In: Pokhodenko VD (ed) Regioselectivity and Reactivity of Free Radicals in Addition and Aromatic Substitution Processes. Naukova Dumka, Kiev (in Russian)
- [11] Volovik SV, Staninets VI, Zefirov NS (1990) Teoret i Experim Khimiya 26(4): 413
- [12] Volovik SV, Staninets VI, Zefirov NS (1991) Doklady Akad Nauk (Russia) 320: 368
- [13] Urusov VS (1994) Zhurn Strukt Khimii 35(1): 111
- [14] Pople JA, Segal GA (1966) J Chem Phys 44: 3289
- [15] Pople JA, Beverigde DL, Dobosh PA (1967) J Chem Phys 47: 2026
- [16] Baird NC, Dewar MJS (1969) J Phys Chem 50: 1262
- [17] Shchembelov GA, Ustynyuk YA, Mamaev VM, Ishchenko SY, Gloriozov IP, Luzhkov VB, Orlov VV, Simkin VY, Pupyshev VI, Burmistrov VN (1980) In: Ustynyuk YA (ed) Quantum Chemical Methods of Molecules Calculation. Khimiya, Moscow (in Russian)
- [18] Rinaldi D (1977) Comput Chem 1: 102
- [19] Gordon AJ, Ford RA (1972) The Chemist's Companion. A Handbook of Practical Data, Techniques, and References. Wiley, New York London Sydney Toronto
- [20] Kitaigorodskii AI, Zorkii PM, Bel'skii VK, Structure of Organic and Elementoorganic Molecules: Bibliographic Guide. (1929–1979) In: Porai-Koshits MA (ed) (1984) Nauka, Moscow (in Russian)
- [21] Dewar MJS, Thiel W (1977) J Amer Chem Soc 99: 4899
- [22] Dewar MJS, Zoebisch EG, Healy EF, Stewart JJP (1985) J Amer Chem Soc 107: 3902
- [23] Stewart JJP (1983) MOPAC, A Semi-Empirical Molecular Orbital Program, QCPE, Program N 455
- [24] Clark T (1985) A Handbook of Computational Chemistry. A Practical Guide to Chemical Structure and Energy. Wiley, New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto Singapore
- [25] Dennis JE, Schnabel RB (1983) Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey
- [26] Thiel W (1988) J Mol Struct Theochem 163: 415
- [27] Burkert U, Allinger NL (1982) Molecular Mechanics, ACS Monograph 177, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC
- [28] Reynolds WF, Taft RW, Marriott S, Topsom RD (1982) Tetrahedron Lett 23: 1055

- [29] Marriott S, Reynolds WF, Taft RW, Topsom RD (1984) J Org Chem 49: 959
- [30] Pankratov AN (1995) Indian J Chem 34B: 689
- [31] Berestova SS, Terekhova MI, Bondarenko NA, Bogachev YS, Petrov ES, Tsvetkov EN, Shatenshtein AI (1982) Zhurn Obshch Khimii 52: 513
- [32] Inamoto N, Masuda S (1977) Tetrahedron Lett 3287
- [33] Sanderson RT (1952) J Chem Educ 29: 539
- [34] Sanderson RT (1954) J Chem Educ 31: 2
- [35] Sanderson RT (1954) J Chem Educ 31: 238
- [36] Luo Yu-Ran, Benson SW (1990) J Phys Chem 94: 914
- [37] Allred AL, Rochow EG (1958) J Inorg Nuclear Chem 5: 269
- [38] Allred AL (1961) J Inorg Nuclear Chem 17: 215
- [39] Kagarise RE (1955) J Amer Chem Soc 77: 1377
- [40] Pauling L (1960) The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Cornell University Press, Ithaca New York
- [41] Constantinides E (1964) Proc Chem Soc 290
- [42] Cherkasov AR, Galkin VI, Zueva EM, Cherkasov RA (1996) Zhurn Obshch Khimii 66: 411
- [43] Gao Shou-Fil (1961) Acta Chim Sinica 27: 190
- [44] Hinze J, Whitehead MA, Jaffé HH (1963) J Amer Chem Soc 85: 148
- [45] Gallais F, Voigt D, Labarre J-F (1965) Compt Rend Acad Sci Paris 260: 128
- [46] Gallais F, Voigt D, Labarre J-F (1965) J Chim Phys et Phys-Chim Biol 62: 761
- [47] Huheey JE (1965) J Phys Chem 69: 3284
- [48] Yingst A (1965) J Chem Soc Chem Commun 480
- [49] Gordy W (1946) Phys Rev 69: 604
- [50] Chandra S, Chandra S (1966) Tetrahedron 22: 3403
- [51] Batsanov SS (1969) Electronegativity of Elements and Chemical Bond. Press of Siberian Branch of USSR Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk (in Russian)
- [52] Hinze J, Jaffé HH (1962) J Amer Chem Soc 84: 540
- [53] Yalymova SV, Samitov YY (1970) Zhurn Organ Khimii 6: 1945
- [54] Samitov YY, Yalymova SV (1972) Zhurn Organ Khimii 8: 441
- [55] Mande C, Deshmukh P, Deshmukh P (1977) J Phys (B) Atom Mol Phys 10: 2293
- [56] Boyd RJ, Marcus GE (1981) J Chem Phys 75: 5385
- [57] Inamoto N, Masuda S (1982) Chemistry Letters 1003
- [58] Inamoto N, Masuda S, Niwa J (1990) J Phys Org Chem 3: 209
- [59] Mullay J (1985) J Amer Chem Soc 107: 7271
- [60] Mullay J (1987) Structure and Bonding 66: 1
- [61] Datta D (1988) Proc Indian Acad Sci (Chem Sci) 100: 549
- [62] Bader RFW (1991) Chem Rev 91: 893
- [63] Hati S, Datta D (1992) J Comput Chem 13: 912
- [64] Inamoto N, Masuda S (1982) Chemistry Letters 1007
- [65] Clark DT, Kilcast D (1971) J Chem Soc A 3286
- [66] Politzer P, Whittenburg SL, Wärnheim T (1982) J Phys Chem 86: 2609
- [67] Gineityte VL, Shatkovskaya DB (1984) Zhurn Strukt Khimii 25(5): 152
- [68] Shatkovskaya DB, Gineityte VL (1988) Zhurn Strukt Khimii 29(1): 21
- [69] Stolow RD, Samal PW, Giants Th W (1981) J Amer Chem Soc 103: 197
- [70] Hehre WJ, Pople JA (1970) J Amer Chem Soc 92: 2191
- [71] Ferreira R (1963) Trans Faraday Soc 59: 1064
- [72] Bingham RC, Dewar MJS, Lo DH (1975) J Amer Chem Soc 97: 1285
- [73] Bykov GV (1960) Electronic Bond Charges in Organic Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences Press, Moscow (in Russian)

Received September 12, 1997. Accepted April 27, 1998